

# 2811 Foundation Chemistry

**June 2003** 

**Mark Scheme** 

The following annotations may be used when marking:

X = incorrect response (errors may also be underlined)

^ = omission mark

bod = benefit of the doubt (where professional judgement has been used)

ecf = error carried forward (in consequential marking)

con = contradiction (in cases where candidates contradict themselves in the

same response)

sf = error in the number of significant figures

Abbreviations, annotations and conventions used in the Mark Scheme:

/ = alternative and acceptable answers for the same marking point

; = separates marking points NOT = answers not worthy of credit

() = words which are not essential to gain credit

(underlining) = key words which <u>must</u> be used

ecf = allow error carried forward in consequential marking

AW = alternative wording ora = or reverse argument

1. (a) (i) P ✓

[1]

(ii)  $1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^3 \checkmark$ 

[1]

(iii) charge on ion: 3- ✓

[1]

electronic configuration of ion of **A**: 1s<sup>2</sup>2s<sup>2</sup>2p<sup>6</sup>3s<sup>2</sup>3p<sup>6</sup> ✓

[1]

(b) (i) different number of neutrons ✓

[1]

(ii) Ni ✓

[1]

(c) (i) average atomic mass/weighted mean/average mass ✓

compared with carbon-12 ✓ 1/12th of mass of carbon-12/on a scale where carbon-12 is 12 ✓

OR

The mass of 1 mole of **atoms** of an element ✓ compared with 12 g ✓ of carbon-12 ✓

[3]

(ii) 58.0x68.2/100 + 60.0x27.3/100 + 62.0x4.5/100 / 58.726 ✓ = 58.7 ✓ (to 3 sig figs: allow full marks for answer. 58.726 (calc) gets 1 mark

only)

[2]

[Total: 11 marks]

2. (a) correct dot and cross ✓

[1]

- (b) number of electrons increases down group ✓
  - $\longrightarrow$  greater van der Waals' forces/intermolecular forces  $\checkmark$

more energy/higher temperature needed to break these intermolecular forces ✓

[3]

(c) (i) brown/orange/yellow colour ✓

[1]

(ii) 2NaBr + Cl<sub>2</sub> → Br<sub>2</sub> + 2NaCl balanced equation ✓ or ionic equation: 2Br<sup>-</sup> + Cl<sub>2</sub> → Br<sub>2</sub> + 2Cl<sup>-</sup>

[1]

(iii) Cl/Cl₂ gains electron(s) ✓ Br⁻ loses an electron ✓

[2]

(iv) CI is more reactive/more powerful oxidising agent than Br ✓

[1]

(v) add AgNO<sub>3</sub> / Ag<sup>+</sup> ✓ yellow precipitate ✓

OR

add Cl<sub>2</sub> / Br<sub>2</sub> ✓

purple in hexane / blue-black in starch ✓

[2]

[Total: 11 marks]

3. (a) correct dot and cross ✓ correct charges ✓ [2] (b) (i) Ca: (+)2 ✓ [1] (ii) C: (+)4 ✓ [1] (c) moles  $CaCO_3 = 20 \times 10^6/100 = 200\ 000\ mol\ \checkmark$ mass CaO = 200 000 x 56 = 11 200 000 g / 1.12 x  $10^7$  g / 11.2 tonnes  $\checkmark$ use of 56 x 20/100 OR 56/5 is worth 1 mark decimal point in wrong place i.e. 1.12 x 10<sup>x</sup> is worth 1 mark. units needed for 2nd mark. [2] (d) CaO + H<sub>2</sub>O  $\longrightarrow$  Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>  $\checkmark$ [1] (i) molar mass =  $40.1 + (16 + 1) \times 2 = 74.1 \text{ (g mol}^{-1}) \checkmark$ (e) [1] (ii) moles HCl =  $0.200 \times 25.0/1000 = 0.005 \text{ mol} \checkmark$ [1]

(iii) moles Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> = 0.5 x 0.005 = 0.0025 mol ✓
 mass Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> = 0.0025 x 74.1 = 0.185 g ✓ accept from 0.19 g to 0.18525 g
 i.e. 0.0025 x answer to (i)
 candidate who does not use 0.5 will get 0.37 g – worth 1 mark

(iv) 1 mol NaOH reacts with 1 mol HCl/ Ca(OH)₂ Has more OHs / OHs needed to neutralise ✓

Therefore twice the number of moles of NaOH are needed

/ twice number of OHs in Ca(OH)₂ ✓

(f) solution reacted with CO₂ ✓ forming CaCO₃ ✓

[Total: 15 marks]

[2]

[2]

[2]

4. (a) 4 valid examples ✓✓✓✓

Can be names or formulae. If a formula is used, it must be correct for the structure: i.e. for simple molecular,  $H_2$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $S_8$ , etc is feuqired.

[4]

(b) (i) positive ions/metal ions/cations ✓surrounded by free/delocalised/sea of electrons ✓

[2]

(ii) electrons move ✓

[1]

(c) solid lattice, ions are in fixed positions ✓ molten, ions are free to move and conduct ✓

[2]

(d) giant has stronger forces/simple has weaker forces ✓ (i.e. comparison of forces) giant: covalent bonds break ✓ simple: molecules/intermolecular forces break / van der Waals break ✓

[3]

[Total: 12 marks]

```
5.
    electron pairs repel ✓
    as far apart as possible ✓
    lone pair repulsion > bonded pair repulsion / lone pair reduces bond angle ✓
                                                                                           [3]
    4 examples, for each: shape ✓✓✓✓
    either
     a named molecule that matches a correct shape
    or
     correct number and type of electron pairs to match shape
    3 correct bond angles ✓ ✓✓
                                                                                           [7]
                                                                                [Sub-total: 10]
      qowc: technical words of three shapes:
      i.e. linear
      non-linear / V-shaped / angular / bent
      trigonal planar / planar triangle
      tetrahedral / tetrahedron
      pyramid(al) / trigonal pyramid(al)
                                                                                 [Sub-total: 1]
```

[Total: 11 marks]

#### **Further notes on Question 5**

#### 1. Three marks that explain the theory:

electron pairs repel ✓

 This could simply be within 'electron pair repulsion theory' or 'lone pairs repel' lone pairs repel more ✓
 repelled as far apart as possible ✓

## 2. Seven marks for shapes and bond angles Bond angles

Credit up to three correct bond angles for chosen examples. i.e. 3 max

### **Shapes**

- (a) If a candidate has drawn shapes of molecules,
  - mark the shapes irrespective of any words that describe them.
  - only look at the words (pyramidal, etc) for the QoWC mark (see below)
  - do **not** use an incorrect name as a 'con' or we will be looking for both the shape **and** the name for the mark.

e.g.

(b) If a candidate has drawn a 'correct' shape but for a molecule that does **not** exist, then the shape mark cannot be awarded. e.g. BeCl<sub>3</sub> shown as a trigonal planar molecule would not score the shape (but could score an angle mark of 120 ° if 'trigonal planar' is used as a fall back). The example below is certainly worth something! e.g.

- (c) If a candidate has not drawn a diagram,
  - the shape mark is still possible if the correct technical word is used. If this tactic
    has been used then you can still award the technical words as part of the QoWC
    mark (see below).

e.g. CH<sub>4</sub> has a tetrahedral shape  $\checkmark$  with a bond angle of 109.5 °  $\checkmark$  QoWC One mark

Use of any three of the 'shape technical words' with correct shapes. i.e. testing 'correct usage' of technical words.